# State of Kansas

# 2013 – 2014 Ambient Air Monitoring Network Plan



Department of Health and Environment
Division of Environment
Bureau of Air
(785) 296-6024

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| Air Monitoring  | 3  |
|---|----|
| National Monitoring Network Design                                    | 5  |
| National Core Monitoring (NCore) Network                              | 6  |
| IMPROVE Visibility Monitoring Network                                 | 9  |
| Lead (Pb) Monitoring Network  | 9  |
| Source-oriented Monitoring  | 9  |
| Population based Monitoring   | 12 |
| Sulfur Dioxide Monitoring Network                                     | 12 |
| Mercury Deposition Monitoring Network                                 | 13 |
| Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Network                                   | 14 |
| Ozone Monitoring Network  | 15 |
| Current O <sub>3</sub> Standard and Monitoring Requirements           | 15 |
| State of Kansas Current O <sub>3</sub> Monitoring Network             | 15 |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Network                                  | 17 |
| Current PM <sub>2.5</sub> Standard and Monitoring Requirements        | 17 |
| State of Kansas Current PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Network          | 18 |
| PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Network                                   | 20 |
| Current PM <sub>10</sub> Standard and Monitoring Requirements         | 20 |
| State of Kansas Current PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Network           | 21 |
| Carbon Monoxide   | 23 |
| Special Monitoring Project  | 24 |
| Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Program                     | 25 |
| List of Proposed Changes to the Kansas Ambient Air Monitoring Network | 26 |
| Public Comments   | 28 |

# Proposed Revisions to the Kansas Ambient Air Monitoring Network: 2013 - 2014 Annual Monitoring Plan

The Kansas Department of Health and Environment will submit an annual ambient air quality monitoring plan to the United States Environmental Protection Agency. This notice is provided for the purpose of informing the public of this activity, and to provide an opportunity for interested parties to offer additional relevant information and comments to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment. Written comments must be received by the Bureau of Air no later than June 30, 2013, to assure consideration prior to submission of this plan. Comments from the interested public should be addressed to:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment Bureau of Air 1000 SW Jackson, Suite 310 Topeka, KS 66612-1366 Attention: Mike Martin

### **Air Monitoring**

The Bureau of Air's, Air Monitoring and Planning Section administers the air monitoring and modeling program and the emissions inventory program. In cooperation with two local agencies, section staff operates the Kansas Ambient Air Monitoring Network, which provides air quality data from 18 sites across the state (Figure 1). The monitoring data is analyzed to determine compliance with <u>federal standards for criteria pollutants</u> and to evaluate air quality trends. In addition, the department has 6 mercury wet deposition monitoring sites located across the state. Staff members also conduct an annual emissions inventory of pollutants emitted from permitted facilities and other sources for the entire state. Staff who conduct air quality modeling use the emission inventory data. Modeling helps to better understand the causes of air pollution and to develop pollution reduction strategies in targeted areas. Such pollution reduction strategies are incorporated into state and regional plans to protect the public health, welfare and environment from the negative effects of air pollution.

# 2013 Kansas Air Monitoring Sites




Fig.1

#### **National Monitoring Network Design**

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed a National Ambient Air Monitoring Strategy (NAAMS). The goal of the strategy is "to improve the scientific and technical competency of existing air monitoring networks to be more responsive to the public, and the scientific and health communities, in a flexible way that accommodates future needs in an optimized resource-constrained environment" (National Ambient Air Monitoring Strategy Document). As part of the Strategy, a network design has been implemented called the National Core Network (NCore). This network will accommodate the overall strategic goals as well as determine air quality trends, report to the public, assess emission reduction strategy effectiveness, provide data for health assessments and help determine attainment / non-attainment status. NCore introduces a new multi-pollutant monitoring component, and addresses the following major objectives:

- **Provide timely reporting of data to the public** through the <u>AIRNow</u> Web site (www.airnow.gov), air quality forecasting and other public reporting mechanisms;
- Support the development of emission reduction strategies through air quality model evaluation and other observational methods;
- Provide accountability of emission reduction strategy progress through tracking longterm trends of criteria and non-criteria pollutants and their precursors;
- **Support long-term health assessments** that contribute to ongoing review of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS);
- Evaluate compliance with NAAQS through designation of attainment / non-attainment areas; and
- Support scientific studies ranging across technological, health, and atmospheric process disciplines.

The Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) ambient air quality monitoring program has already accomplished much of the network reconfiguration needed to meet NCore objectives. Since 1999, as a result of implementing a major network reconfiguration associated with promulgation of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, the State of Kansas has:

- 1) completed a primary disinvestment in PM<sub>10</sub> sampling;
- 2) established five multi-pollutant sites, including one rural background, two rural transport and two urban trends sites;
- 3) expanded the ozone monitoring network in the Kansas City metropolitan area to optimize spatial distribution of monitors, adequately monitor background and transport and provide better coverage for AirNow mapping; and

4) added two IMPROVE-protocol (regional haze) sites in cooperation with EPA Region VII and the Central Regional Air Planning Association (CENRAP).

Certain NCore requirements necessitate modification of the Kansas Ambient Air Monitoring Network. In 2009, KDHE prepared a Monitoring Plan for NCore, which included two monitoring locations, one urban and one rural. The two monitoring locations were proposed and accepted by EPA Region VII on October 30, 2009.

#### **National Core Monitoring (NCore) Network**

In October 2006, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) established the National Core (NCore) multi-pollutant monitoring network in its final amendments to the ambient air monitoring regulations for criteria pollutants (codified in 40 CFR parts 53 and 58). EPA expects each state to have at least one NCore site. Nationwide, there will be approximately 75 sites, mostly in urban areas.

The NCore monitoring network addresses the following monitoring objectives which are equally valued at each site:

- timely reporting of data to the public through AIRNow, air quality forecasting, and other public reporting mechanisms;
- support development of emission strategies through air quality model evaluation and other observational methods;
- accountability of emission strategy progress through tracking long-term trends of criteria and non-criteria pollutants and their precursors;
- compliance through establishing nonattainment/attainment areas by comparison with the NAAQS;
- support of scientific studies ranging across technological, health, and atmospheric process disciplines; support long-term health assessments that contribute to ongoing reviews of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS); and
- support of ecosystem assessments, recognizing that national air quality networks benefit ecosystem assessments and, in turn, benefit from data specifically designed to address ecosystem analysis.

At a minimum, NCore monitoring sites must measure the parameters listed in Table 1.

Table 1: NCore Parameters

| Parameter                                     | Comments  |
|---|---|
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> speciation                  | Organic and elemental carbon, major ions and trace metals (24 hour average every 3rd day) |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> FRM mass                    | 24 hour average every third day   |
| continuous PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass             | one hour reporting interval   |
| continuous PM <sub>(10-2.5)</sub> mass        | in anticipation of a PM <sub>(10-2.5)</sub> standard                                      |
| lead (Pb)                                     | 24 hour sample every sixth day (first sample is required on December 29, 2011)            |
| ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )                       | continuous monitor consistent with other O <sub>3</sub> sites                             |
| carbon monoxide (CO)                          | continuous monitor consistent with other CO sites   |
| carbon monoxide (CO) trace level              | continuous monitor capable of trace levels (low ppb and below)                            |
| sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )             | continuous monitor consistent with other SO <sub>2</sub> sites                            |
| sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ) trace level | continuous monitor capable of trace levels (low ppb and below)                            |
| oxides of nitrogen (NO <sub>X</sub> )         | continuous monitor consistent with other NO <sub>X</sub> sites                            |
| total reactive nitrogen (NO/NO <sub>y</sub> ) | continuous monitor capable of trace levels (low ppb and below)                            |
| surface meteorology                           | wind speed and direction, temperature, barometric pressure, and relative humidity         |

#### 0-209-0021; Kansas City:

This site (Figs. 2-5), which currently serves as an urban core multi-pollutant monitoring station, is designated as an NCore station. The site is located close to Nebraska Ave and North 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Kansas City, Kansas (N 39.117219; W -94.635605).



Figure 2. Kansas City, KS JFK NCore Site Map

Figure 3. Kansas City, KS JFK NCore Site



Figure 4. Kansas City, KS JFK NCore Site



Figure 5. Kansas City, KS JFK NCore Site

### **IMPROVE Visibility Monitoring Network**

20-017-0001; Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve:

This site operates as an Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) protocol sampler. Relocation of this site to another part of the Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve is likely, contingent upon pending negotiations with the National Park Service. The site is located at N 38.433611; W -96.55944, northwest of Strong City, Kansas on Highway 177.

20-195-0001; Cedar Bluff Reservoir:

This location was chosen in Western Kansas to serve as a background site for several pollutants, including  $SO_2$ , ozone, and  $PM_{2.5}$ . It also operates as an Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) protocol sampler site. The site is located at N 38.77027; W -99.76361, on the south side of Cedar Bluff Reservoir in Trego County.

#### **Lead (Pb) Monitoring Network**

### Source-oriented Monitoring

According to 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix D, paragraph 4.5(a), state and, where appropriate, local agencies are required to conduct ambient air monitoring for lead (Pb) considering Pb

sources that are expected to or have been shown to contribute to a maximum Pb concentration in ambient air in excess of the NAAQS. At a minimum, there must be one source-oriented SLAMS site located to measure the maximum Pb concentration in ambient air resulting from each Pb source that emits one-half (0.5) or more tons per year. A search of reported emissions for 2007 revealed that only one source in Kansas exceeds the one-half ton threshold. This source is located at Salina.

According to 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix D, paragraph 4.5(a), source-oriented monitors are to be sited at the location of predicted maximum concentration in ambient air taking into account the potential for population exposure, and logistics. Typically, dispersion modeling will be required to identify the location of predicted maximum concentration.

Dispersion modeling was performed by KDHE to determine the area of maximum concentration for sampler placement. KDHE prepared a Monitoring Plan for Airborne Lead in 2009.

The Pb site near the Exide Technologies facility at Salina, KS has been designated with AQS site ID 020-169-0004. A high volume (HiVoI), total suspended particulate (TSP) sampler is running at the site on a 1/6 day schedule and began sampling on February 2, 2010. The monitoring site is located at the following legal description:


SOUTH INDUSTRIAL AREA, S1, T15, R3, BLOCK 2, ACRES 13.4, LTS 21-30 EXC E 32 LT 30



Figure 7. Salina, KS Pb Source Monitoring Site



Figure 8. Salina, KS Pb Nonattainment Area



KDHE will be installing an additional high volume (HiVol), total suspended particulate (TSP) sampler at the Salina monitoring site to use for collocation purposes in 2013. This monitor will run on the same 1/6 day sampling schedule as the existing lead monitor and will be installed next to the existing monitor.

#### Population based Monitoring

EPA is also requiring lead monitoring in large urban areas. These monitors will be located along with multi-pollutant ambient monitoring sites (known as the "NCore network"). Lead monitoring at these sites began January 1, 2012. KDHE located a high volume (HiVol), total suspended particulate (TSP) sampler at the JFK NCore site in Kansas City, Kansas to fulfill this requirement. It is running at the site on a 1/6 day schedule and began running December 27, 2011 and took its first sample on January 4, 2012.

## **Sulfur Dioxide Monitoring Network**

On June 2, 2010, EPA revoked the primary annual and 24-hour  $SO_2$  standards from 30 ppb and 140 ppb, respectively, to a 1-hour standard of 75 ppb. The new  $SO_2$  rule, published June 22, 2010, also stated the following:

- Any new monitors must be in operation by January 1, 2013.
- Monitoring required in Core Based Statistical Areas (CBSA's) based on population size and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- Additional monitoring would also be required based on the state's contribution to national SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, which could be placed either within or outside a CBSA's.
- Reporting requirement added to include maximum 5-minute block average of each hour.

KDHE currently monitors for  $SO_2$  at the following sites; Cedar Bluff, Peck (Wichita), Mine Creek and JFK (Kansas City). Although originally a site was to be required by EPA in Manhattan based on 2008 NEI and census data, KDHE used 2009 NEI and census data to calculate a new Population Weighted Emissions Index for Manhattan, and found that no new  $SO_2$  monitor will be required. This was caused by significant reductions in  $SO_2$  at the Jeffrey Energy Center with the installation of wet scrubbers on all three units (see table 2).

Table 2

| CBSA            | County/counties | 2008<br>PWEI  | 2009<br>PWEI |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Atchison, KS    | Atchison        | 0             | 0            |
| Coffeyville, KS | Montgomery      | <del>36</del> | 20           |
| Dodge City, KS  | Ford            | 2             | 3            |
| Emporia, KS     | Chase, Lyon     | 0             | 0            |
| Garden City, KS | Finney          | <del>80</del> | 82           |
| Great Bend, KS  | Barton          | <del>54</del> | 0            |
| Hays, KS        | Ellis           | 0             | 0            |
| Hutchinson, KS  | Reno            | 2             | 0            |

| Kansas City, MO-<br>KS | Franklin (KS), Johnson (KS), Leavenworth (KS), Linn (KS), Miami (KS), Wyandotte (KS), Bates (MO), Caldwell (MO), Cass (MO), Clay (MO), Clinton (MO), Jackson (M), Lafayette (MO), Platte (MO), Ray (MO) | <del>162,597</del> | 159,338 |
|------------------------|---|--------------------|---------|
| Lawrence, KS           | Douglas   | 311                | 322     |
| Liberal, KS            | Seward  | 1                  | 1       |
| Manhattan, KS          | Geary, Pottawatomie, Riley  | <del>5,786</del>   | 736     |
| McPherson, KS          | McPherson   | <del>13</del>      | 13      |
| Parsons, KS            | Labette   | 1                  | 0       |
| Pittsburg, KS          | Crawford  | 0                  | 0       |
| Salina, KS             | Ottawa, Saline  | 0                  | 0       |
| St. Joseph, MO-KS      | Doniphan (KS), Andrew (MO), Buchanan (MO), DeKalb (MO)  | 468                | 470     |
| Topeka, KS             | Jackson, Jefferson, Osage, Shawnee,<br>Wabaunsee  | <del>1,279</del>   | 1,357   |
| Wichita, KS            | Butler, Harvey, Sedgwick, Sumner  | <del>934</del>     | 929     |
| Winfield, KS           | Cowley  | 0                  | 0       |

http://www.epa.gov/ttnnaaqs/standards/so2/fr/20100622.pdf

## **Mercury Deposition Monitoring Network**

KSA 75-5673 required that the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) establish a statewide mercury deposition network consisting of at least six monitoring sites. Monitoring for a period of time long enough to determine trends (five or more years) is also specified. The network has been designed to assure compatibility with the national Mercury Deposition Network (MDN). The MDN, coordinated through the National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP), is designed to study and quantify the atmospheric fate and deposition of mercury. The MDN collects weekly samples of wet deposition (rain and snow) for analysis to determine total mercury. The complete Kansas Mercury Wet Deposition Monitoring Network (KMDN) consists of six sites distributed across the state. The locations of existing and future sites in the states of Nebraska and Oklahoma were also taken into consideration to optimize regional mercury network coverage. A more detailed report on this network may be found at <a href="http://www.kdheks.gov/bar/air-monitor/mercury/Hg\_Report.pdf">http://www.kdheks.gov/bar/air-monitor/mercury/Hg\_Report.pdf</a> A map of the network appears below in Figure 9.

<sup>&</sup>quot;For any CBSA with a calculated PWEI value equal to or greater than 5,000, but less than 100,000, a minimum of one SO<sub>2</sub> monitor is required within that CBSA.

Figure 9. Kansas Mercury Deposition Network and sites in Nebraska and Oklahoma




#### **Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Network**

The state is required by 40 CFR 58 Appendix D to install and operate one microscale near-road NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring station and it is to be operational by January 1, 2017. The state is beginning to perform preliminary analysis on the selection of appropriate near-road monitoring site in Wichita and will await funding to establish this site. EPA amending the applicability requirements of 40 CFR 58 Appendix D in March of 2013 to address the near road monitoring network and introduced a phased approach to implementation of the network. (http://www.epa.gov/oaqps001/nitrogenoxides/pdfs/20130307fr.pdf)
Two criteria have been set up for NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring:

- Near-road NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring; 1 micro-scale site would be required in CBSAs >= 350,000 at a location of expected highest hourly NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations sited near a major road with high AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic) counts.
- Community-wide; required in CBSAs >= 1 million at a location of expected highest NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations representing neighborhood or larger (urban) spatial scale.

Based on the near-road criteria, one monitor site is being installed in 2013 in the Kansas City Metropolitan Area by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Air Pollution Control Program and will be located near I-70 and Sterling Avenue (39.047911, -94.450513). There will also be one site located in the City of Wichita. Based on the community-wide criteria, the Kansas City CBSA would be required to have a monitor and the JFK monitoring site (20-209-0021) satisfies this requirement.

Figure 10. Kansas Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Sites, 2013



## **Ozone Monitoring Network**

#### Current O<sub>3</sub> Standard and Monitoring Requirements

Current national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for O<sub>3</sub> have been set to 0.075 parts per million (ppm) for both the primary standard and the secondary standard (http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-AIR/2008/March/Day-27/a5645.pdf).

#### State of Kansas Current O<sub>3</sub> Monitoring Network

Current Kansas  $O_3$  monitoring network includes 9 monitors located throughout the state. Monitors are listed in Table 3 along with detailed site information. No collocated  $O_3$  measurements are available in Kansas.


Table 3. State of Kansas O<sub>3</sub> Monitor Site ID and Location.

| Site Name     | Site ID    | Latitude  | Longitude  | Address                            |
|---------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------------------------------|
| Heritage Park | 091 - 0010 | 38.838575 | -94.746424 | 13899 W 159th (Heritage Park)      |
| Leavenworth   | 103 - 0003 | 39.327391 | -94.951020 | 2010 Metropolitan                  |
| Mine Creek    | 107 - 0002 | 38.135882 | -94.731988 | County Rd 1103 .7 Mi South Of K-52 |

| Sedgwick             | 173 - 0018 | 37.897506 | -97.492083 | 12831 W. 117N Sedgwick,KS                |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Wichita Health Dept. | 173 - 0010 | 37.702066 | -97.314847 | Health Dept., 1900 East 9th St.          |
| Topeka KNI           | 177 - 0013 | 39.024265 | -95.711275 | 2501 Randolph Avenue                     |
| Peck                 | 191 - 0002 | 37.476890 | -97.366399 | 707 E 119th St South, Peck Comm Bldg     |
| Cedar Bluff          | 195 - 0001 | 38.770081 | -99.763424 | Cedar Bluff Reservoir, Pronghorn & Muley |
| Kansas City JFK      | 209 - 0021 | 39.117219 | -94.635605 | 1210 N. 10th St., JFK Recreation Center  |

Figure 11 shows the population density of the State of Kansas along with the monitoring sites (<a href="http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/tables/CO-EST2008-01-20.xls">http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/tables/CO-EST2008-01-20.xls</a>). Among these monitors, Topeka KNI, Peck and Kansas City JFK are urban scale monitors measuring population exposure; Sedgwick is an urban scale monitor measuring highest concentration; Heritage Park and Leavenworth are neighborhood scale monitors measuring population exposure; Mine Creek and Peck are regional scale monitors measuring regional transport; and Cedar Bluff is regional scale monitor measuring the general background O<sub>3</sub> concentration in the state of Kansas.

Figure 11. State of Kansas Population Density Map and the Location of O<sub>3</sub> Monitors.



## PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Network

#### Current PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard and Monitoring Requirements

On December 14, 2012, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) changed the primary annual National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for fine particles to 12.0 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m³) and retained the 24-hour fine particle standard of 35  $\mu$ g/m³. They also retained the existing secondary standards for PM<sub>2.5</sub> to address PM-related effects such as visibility impairment, ecological effects, damage to materials and climate impacts. This includes an annual standard of 15.0 $\mu$ g/m³ and a 24-hour standard of 35 $\mu$ g/m³.

The primary annual standard is based on a 3 year average of the weighted annual mean. The primary 24-hour standard is based on a 3 year  $98^{th}$  percentile average of 24-hour values. Current minimum monitoring requirements for  $PM_{2.5}$  are shown in Table 4 (http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2006/pdf/06-8478.pdf).

Table 4. PM<sub>2.5</sub> Minimum Monitoring Requirements (Number of Stations per MSA)

| Population Category | 3-yr design value > 85% of NAAQS | 3-yr design value < 85% of NAAQS |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| > 1,000,000         | 3                                | 2                                |
| 500,000 - 1,000,000 | 2                                | 1                                |
| 50,000 - <500,000   | 1                                | 0                                |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Minimum monitoring requirements apply to the Metropolitan statistical area (MSA).

In addition to the minimum number of monitors required, there are also requirements for a minimum number of continuous monitors to be deployed. Fifty percent of the minimum required number of monitoring sites are required to be a continuous  $PM_{2.5}$  monitor. For Kansas this means that at a minimum two continuous  $PM_{2.5}$  monitors need to be operated in the state.

Applying the minimum monitoring requirements to Kansas urban areas, population totals and historical  $PM_{2.5}$  measurements results in the design requirements shown in Table 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Population based on latest available census figures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) levels and forms are defined in 40 CFR part 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These minimum monitoring requirements apply in the absence of a design value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Metropolitan statistical areas (MSA) must contain an urbanized area of 50,000 or more population.

According to Tables 4 and 5,  $PM_{2.5}$  monitors could be removed from the Wichita area and the Kansas City area assuming the Missouri side of Kansas City retains a  $PM_{2.5}$  monitor(s).

Table 5. Minimum Number of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitors Required in Kansas MSA

| MSA                | Population<br>(2010) | Number of Existing<br>PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitors | PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitors<br>Required |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Wichita, KS        | 623,061              | 3  | 1                                      |
| Topeka, KS         | 233,870              | 1  | 0                                      |
| Lawrence, KS       | 110,826              | 0  | 0                                      |
| Kansas City, MO-KS | 2,035,334            | 3 (KS side only)                                 | 2                                      |

#### State of Kansas Current PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Network

Current Kansas  $PM_{2.5}$  monitoring network includes 12 monitors located throughout the state at 10 different monitoring sites. Nine of the monitors are filter based while the remaining three monitors are continuous Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM). Only one of the TEOM monitors, located at JFK, is equipped with a Filter Dynamics Measurement System (FDMS) and is considered a federal reference monitor. Monitor locations and type are listed in Table 6 along with detailed site information. Two sites have collocated filterable and continuous  $PM_{2.5}$  measurements, one at JFK in Kansas City and one at Mine Creek south of Kansas City.


Table 6. State of Kansas PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitor Site ID and Location.

| Site Name   | Site ID | City     | Address                 | Lat_DD    | Lon_DD     | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | CPM <sub>2.5</sub> |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|             |         |          | Cedar Bluff             |           |            |                   |                    |
|             | 195 -   | Cedar    | Reservoir, Pronghorn &  |           |            |                   |                    |
| Cedar Bluff | 0001    | Bluff    | Muley                   | 38.770081 | -99.763424 | NO                | YES                |
| Justice     | 091 -   | Overland |                         |           |            |                   |                    |
| Center      | 0007    | Park     | 85th And Antioch        | 38.974457 | -94.687013 | YES               | NO                 |
| Heritage    | 091 -   |          | 13899 W 159th (Heritage |           |            |                   |                    |
| Park        | 0010    | Olathe   | Park)                   | 38.838575 | -94.746424 | YES               | NO                 |
| K-96 and    | 173 -   |          |                         |           |            |                   |                    |
| Hydraulic   | 1012    | Wichita  | K-96 and Hydraulic      | 37.747085 | -97.316912 | YES               | NO                 |

| Glenn &      | 173 - |         | Fire Sta#12 Glenn &         |           |            |     |     |
|--------------|-------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|-----|-----|
| Pawnee       | 0009  | Wichita | Pawnee                      | 37.651114 | -97.362212 | YES | NO  |
|              | 173 - |         | Health Dept., 1900 East 9th |           |            |     |     |
| Health Dept. | 0010  | Wichita | St.                         | 37.702066 | -97.314847 | YES | NO  |
|              | 177 - |         |                             |           |            |     |     |
| KNI          | 0013  | Topeka  | 2501 Randolph Avenue        | 39.024265 | -95.711275 | YES | NO  |
|              | 191 - |         | 707 E 119th St South,Peck   |           |            |     |     |
| Peck         | 0002  | Peck    | Community Bldg              | 37.476890 | -97.366399 | YES | NO  |
|              | 107 - | Mine    | County Rd 1103 .7 Mi        |           |            |     |     |
| Mine Creek   | 0002  | Creek   | South Of K-52 (Mine Creek)  | 38.135882 | -94.731988 | YES | YES |
|              | 209 - | Kansas  | 1210 N. 10th St.,JFK        |           |            |     |     |
| JFK          | 0021  | City    | Recreation Center           | 39.117219 | -94.635605 | YES | YES |

Figure 12 shows the population density of the State of Kansas along with the  $PM_{2.5}$  monitoring sites (http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/tables/CO-EST2008-01-20.xls). All of these monitors have 3 year design values at or below the 85% of the NAAQS concentration category.

Figure 12. State of Kansas Population Density Map and the Location of  $PM_{2.5}$  Monitors.



## PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Network

#### Current PM<sub>10</sub> Standard and Monitoring Requirements

Current national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for PM<sub>10</sub> has been set to 150 micrograms per meter cubed for both the primary standard and the secondary standard (<a href="http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pm/data/fr20061017.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pm/data/fr20061017.pdf</a>). This standard is not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years. Current minimum monitoring requirements for PM<sub>10</sub> are shown in Table 7 (<a href="http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2006/pdf/06-8478.pdf">http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2006/pdf/06-8478.pdf</a>).

Table 7. PM<sub>10</sub> Minimum Monitoring Requirements (Number Of Stations per MSA)<sup>1</sup>

| Population Category | High<br>Concentration <sup>2</sup> | Medium<br>Concentration <sup>3</sup> | Low<br>Concentration <sup>4</sup> |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| > 1,000,000         | 6 - 10                             | 4 - 8                                | 2 - 4                             |
| 500,000 - 1,000,000 | 4 - 8                              | 2 - 4                                | 1 - 2                             |
| 250,000 - 500,000   | 3 - 4                              | 1 - 2                                | 0 - 1                             |
| 100,000 - 250,000   | 1 -2                               | 0 - 1                                | 0                                 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Selection of urban areas and actual numbers of stations per area within the ranges shown in this table will be jointly determined by EPA and the State Agency.

Applying the minimum monitoring requirements to Kansas urban areas, population totals and historical  $PM_{10}$  measurements results in the design requirements shown in Table 8. According to Tables 7 and 8,  $PM_{10}$  monitors could be removed from the Wichita area and the Kansas City area assuming the Missouri side of Kansas City retains a  $PM_{10}$  monitor.

Table 8. Minimum Number of PM<sub>10</sub> Monitors Required in Kansas MSA

| MSA         | Population<br>(07/08/2008) | Number of Existing PM <sub>10</sub> Monitors | PM <sub>10</sub> Monitors<br>Required |
|-------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Wichita, KS | 603,716                    | 4  | 1-2                                   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> High concentration areas are those for which ambient  $PM_{10}$  data show ambient concentrations exceeding the  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS by 20% or more.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Medium concentration areas are those for which ambient PM<sub>10</sub> data show ambient concentrations exceeding 80% of the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Low concentration areas are those for which ambient PM $_{10}$  data show ambient concentrations < 80% of the PM10 NAAQS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> These minimum monitoring requirements apply in the absence of a design value.

| Topeka, KS         | 229,619   | 1                | 0-1   |
|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-------|
| Lawrence, KS       | 114,748   | 0                | 0     |
| Kansas City, MO-KS | 2,002,047 | 2 (KS side only) | 2 – 4 |

#### State of Kansas Current PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Network


Current Kansas  $PM_{10}$  monitoring network includes 12 monitors located throughout the state at 10 monitoring sites. Six of the monitors are filter based while the other six monitors are continuous. Monitor locations and type are listed in Table 9 along with detailed site information. Two sites have collocated filterable and continuous  $PM_{10}$  measurements, one in Topeka and one in Wichita.

Table 9. State of Kansas PM<sub>10</sub> Monitor Site ID and Location.

| Site Name               | Site ID    | City        | Address  | Lat_DD    | Lon_DD      | PM <sub>10</sub> | Cont.<br>PM <sub>10</sub> |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Dodge City              | 057 - 0002 | Dodge City  | Dodge City<br>Community College                | 37.775303 | -100.035440 | NO               | YES                       |
| Washington &<br>Skinner | 173 - 0008 | Wichita     | Fire Sta#11,<br>G.Washingtonblvd &<br>E.Skinne | 37.659334 | -97.297714  | NO               | YES                       |
| Glen & Pawnee           | 173 - 0009 | Wichita     | Fire Sta#12 Glen &<br>Pawnee                   | 37.651114 | -97.362212  | NO               | YES                       |
| Health Dept             | 173 - 0010 | Wichita     | Health Dept., 1900<br>East 9th St.             | 37.702066 | -97.314847  | NO               | YES                       |
| Chanute                 | 133 - 0002 | Chanute     | 1500 West Seventh                              | 37.676308 | -95.474649  | YES              | NO                        |
| Goodland                | 181 - 0001 | Goodland    | City Fire Sta , 1010<br>Center                 | 39.348452 | -101.713405 | YES              | NO                        |
| 420 Kansas              | 209 - 0015 | Kansas City | Fire Sta#3 ,420<br>Kansas Ave                  | 39.087949 | -94.621453  | YES              | NO                        |
| JFK                     | 209 - 0021 | Kansas City | 1210 N. 10th St.,JFK<br>Recreation Center      | 39.117219 | -94.635605  | YES              | NO                        |
| K-96 And<br>Hydraulic   | 173 - 1012 | Wichita     | K-96 And Hydraulic                             | 37.747085 | -97.316912  | YES              | YES                       |
| KNI                     | 177 - 0013 | Topeka      | 2501 Randolph<br>Avenue                        | 39.024265 | -95.711275  | YES              | YES                       |

Figure 13 shows the population density of the State of Kansas along with the monitoring sites (<a href="http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/tables/CO-EST2008-01-20.xls">http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/tables/CO-EST2008-01-20.xls</a>). All of these monitors have 3 year design values in the Low (< 80% of the NAAQS) concentration category.


Figure 13. State of Kansas Population Density Map and the Location of PM<sub>10</sub> Monitors.



#### **Carbon Monoxide**

EPA conducted a review of the CO NAAQS and decided to retain the existing standards in 2011. The BOA currently has two CO monitoring site in the state (Figure 14). One is located at the JFK site in Kansas City, Kansas and the other is located at the Health Department site in Wichita. BOA submitted a letter to EPA in December 2012 to request concurrence with the removal of the CO monitor from the Wichita Health Department site. No action has been taken on that request as of the submittal of this plan.

Figure 14. Kansas Carbon Monoxide Monitoring Sites, 2013



## **Special Monitoring Project**

The KDHE and the BNSF Railway Company have agreed to establish a  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  monitoring site near the new BNSF Kansas City Intermodal Facility to determine traffic impacts on near road air quality. The site will be located on the SE corner of  $191^{st}$  Street and Homestead Road in Johnson County (Figure 15). The monitoring project shall be conducted for a two-year period commencing with the opening of the Intermodal Facility.




Figure 15. Monitoring Site location for BNSF Intermodal Facility

## Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Program

The purpose of the QA/QC program is to assure the quality of data obtained from the KDHE air monitoring networks. The KDHE meets or exceeds the QA requirements defined in 40 CFR 58 and all applicable appendices.

The QA/QC program includes but is not limited to the following activities:

- instrument performance audits,
- monitor siting evaluations,
- precision and span checks,
- bias determinations.
- flow rate audits,
- leak checks, and
- data validation

For independent quality assurance activities, the KDHE participates in the National Performance Audit Program and the Performance Evaluation Program for criteria pollutant monitoring and performance.

As the Primary Quality Assurance Organization (PQAO) for ambient air monitoring activities in Kansas, the KDHE operates under an EPA approved Quality Management Plan (QMP) and utilizes Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPP) for each statewide monitoring network. The primary purpose of the QAPP is to provide an overview of the project, describe the need for the measurements, and define QA/QC activities to be applied to the project. All other ambient air monitoring initiatives including state, tribal and industrial projects must have a KDHE approved monitoring plan for each specific project.

# List of Proposed Changes to the Kansas Ambient Air Monitoring Network

20-169-0004; Salina Lead Monitoring Site:

KDHE will be installing an additional high volume (HiVol), total suspended particulate (TSP) sampler at the Salina monitoring site to use for collocation purposes in 2013. This monitor will run on the same 1/6 day sampling schedule as the existing lead monitor and will be installed next to the existing TSP-Lead monitor.

#### 20-107-0002; Mine Creek:

As part of the Kansas five-year network assessment, movement of this entire site to Chanute is proposed for 2013. This existing site (38.135882,-94.731988) will be terminated as part of the move to Chanute.

Monitor type: Special Purpose Monitor (SPM)

#### 20-133-0002; Chanute:

Replacement of the PM<sub>10</sub> HiVol sampler with a continuous monitor is being considered as part of the Mine Creek monitoring equipment move to this site. The HiVol would be removed from its roof location and the continuous monitor would be placed at the approximate new location proposed in Figure 15. As part of the Kansas five year network assessment, moving the Mine Creek sampling site equipment to this location is proposed for 2013.



Figure 15. Proposed New Chanute Monitoring Site Location.

#### 20-209-0015; 420 Kansas, Kansas City, KS:

As part of the Kansas five year network assessment, termination of the  $PM_{10}$  sampler at this site, which is located at 420 Kansas (39.087949; -94.621453), will occur. This sampler has never measured an exceedance of the NAAQS for  $PM_{10}$ .

Monitor type: State or Local Air Monitoring Station (SLAMS)

#### 20-195-0001; Cedar Bluff;

This is a comprehensive site in Western Kansas (38.770081, -99.763424) but does not currently have a  $NO_2$  monitor. It is the intention of the BOA to install a new  $NO_2$  monitor at this site in 2013-14.

#### 20-173-1012; Wichita:

Termination or relocation of the PM<sub>10</sub> sampler at this site, which is located at Kansas 96 Highway and Hydraulic (37.747085; -97.316912), is being considered.

Special Project Monitoring; Edgerton, KS BNSF Intermodal Site Entrance As part of a short term special monitoring study with the BNSF Railway Company, KDHE will run continuous  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  samplers at a site near the entrance of the Intermodal facility near Edgerton, KS. Monitoring

## **Public Comments**

~To be added after comment period~